

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/572,616	10/18/2006	Raoul Florent	FR 030105 7655	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001			EXAMINER	
			BITAR, NANCY	
BRIARCLIFF	BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	
			c	
		·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/572,616	FLORENT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nancy Bitar	2624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!					
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 M	<u>arch 2006</u> .					
,	·					
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Olamin(3) are subject to restriction unare cleation requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 March 2006</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### **Drawings**

- 1. The drawings are objected to because figure 5 does not comply with 37 CFR
  1.84(o) where suitable descriptive legends may be used subject to approval by Office,
  or may be required by the examiner where necessary for understanding of the drawing.
  They should contain as few words as possible
- 2. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

The USPTO "Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility" (Official Gazette notice of 22 November 2005), Annex IV, reads as follows:

Descriptive material can be characterized as either "functional descriptive material" or "nonfunctional descriptive material." In this context, "functional descriptive material" consists of data structures and computer programs which impart functionality when employed as a computer component. (The definition of "data structure" is "a physical or logical relationship among data elements, designed to support specific data manipulation functions." The New IEEE Standard Dictionary of Electrical and Electronics Terms 308 (5th ed. 1993).) "Nonfunctional descriptive material" includes but is not limited to music, literary works and a compilation or mere arrangement of data.

When functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will be statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized. Compare In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579, 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d 1031, 1035 (Fed. Cir. 1994) (claim to data structure stored on a computer readable medium that increases computer efficiency held statutory) and Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1360-61, 31 USPQ2d at 1759 (claim to computer having a specific data structure stored in memory held statutory product-by-process claim) with Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1361, 31 USPQ2d at 1760 (claim to a data structure per se held nonstatutory).

In contrast, a claimed computer-readable medium encoded with a computer program is a computer element which defines structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and the rest of the computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory. See Lowry, 32 F.3d at 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d at 1035.

3. Claims 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter as follows. Claim 8 defines a "computer program product" embodying functional descriptive material. However, the claim does not define a computer-readable medium or memory and is thus non-statutory for that

Application/Control Number:

10/572,616 Art Unit: 2624

reason (i.e., "When functional descriptive material is recorded on some computerreadable medium it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium
and will be statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the
descriptive material to be realized" — Guidelines Annex IV). That is, the scope of the
presently claimed "a suitably programmed computer and a computer program product "
can range from paper on which the program is written, to a program simply
contemplated and memorized by a person. The examiner suggests amending the claim
to embody the program on "computer-readable medium encoded with a computer
program" or equivalent in order to make the claim statutory. Any amendment to the
claim should be commensurate with its corresponding disclosure.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 5. Claims 1-3, 5-9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Zlokolica ET al (Video denoising using multiple class averaging with multiresolution).

As to claim 1, Vladimir Zlokolica et al. teaches an image processing system for reduction of the noise and enhancement of edges in images of a sequence, comprising:

means of decomposition of spatial image signal yielding slices of different content ( The wavelet transform compresses essential information in an image into relatively few large coefficients, that correspond to the main image details at different

Application/Control Number:

10/572,616 Art Unit: 2624

resolution scales, note that one level in the decomposition have been used for the sake of simplicity and time cost, page 5, section 3);

means of temporal filtering for differently filtering the slices according to the content (spatio-temporal recursive filter, based on multiple threshold filtering, see section 2 and section 3 equation (7) and figure 2);

means of recomposition of the images of the sequence from the temporally filtered slices ( after all four bands HH,HL,LH and LL have been processed, an inverse wavelet transform is done which produces the output sequence, page 6, section 3).

As to claim 2, Vladimir Zlokolica et al. teach the system of claim 1 wherein the decomposition is performed using means of pyramidal decomposition (wavelet decomposition, page 6, figure 2).

As to claim 3, Vladimir Zlokolica et al. teach the system of claim 1 wherein the means of temporal filtering comprises adaptive filtering (motion compensation, page 2, second paragraph, note that the wavelet transform [12] naturally facilitates spatially adaptive algorithms, section 2).

As to claim 5, Vladimir Zlokolica et al teach the system of claim 1 wherein the means of temporal filtering comprises recursive adaptive filtering (A time recursive spatio-temporal filter has been presented in this paper, see section 2 and section 5).

As to claim 6, Vladimir Zlokolica et al teaches the system of claim 1, further comprising imaging means for displaying the images of the sequence (video sequence, see section 1-2).

The limitations of claims 7-9 has been addresses above in claim 1.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zlokolica et al in view of Brailean et al ( Noise Reduction: Filters for Dynamic Image sequence: A Review).

While Zlokolica meets a number of the limitations of the claimed invention, as pointed out more fully above, Zlokolica fails to specifically teach the means of temporal filtering comprises motion compensation. Specifically, Brailean et al. teaches the motion compensated spatiotemporal filtering where the addition of motion compensation to a nonmotion compensated filter does result in a new filter which helps the temporal correlation. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include the motion compensation of Brailean in Zlokolica temporal filtering in order to allow for the support of the filter to be increased in the temporal direction improving the filter's ability to suppress noise without incurring additional artifacts due to motion(see section B: Motion Compensated Spatiotemporal ) Therefore, the claimed invention would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention by applicant.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nancy Bitar whose telephone number is 571-270-1041. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (7:30a.m. to 5:00pm). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bhavesh Mehta can be reached on 571-272-7453. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Nancy Bitar

12/28/2007

RIMARY EXAMINED